

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

June 4, 1997

BY FACSIMILE AND HAND DELIVERY

James Bopp, Esq.
Bopp, Coleson & Bostrom
2 Foulkes Square
401 Ohio St.
P.O. Box 8100
Terre Haute, IN 47808-8100

RE: MUR 3374

National Right to Life Committee, Inc. National Right to Life Political Action Committee and Amarie C. Natividad, as treasurer

Dear Mr. Bopp:

This letter responds to your June 4, 1997 phone proposal concerning production of calendars requested in the Commission's February 11, 1997 Subpoena/Order to your clients, National Right to Life Committee, Inc. (NRLC) and National Right to Life Political Action Committee and its treasurer (NRL PAC).

As you recall, in an attempt to accommodate your objections to producing calendars used by five NRLC/NRL PAC employees named in the Subpoena/Order, this Office proposed two alternatives to production of the full set of calendars in a letter to you dated April 24, 1997: (1) that your clients permit FEC attorneys to inspect, at your clients' offices, the calendars or other such documents and mark for copying and production specific pages or entries, or (2) that your clients produce a list of any meetings or phone calls reflected in the calendars between NRLC and certain specified individuals or entities during which federal elections, federal candidates or NRSC contributions were discussed.

The NRLC filed a supplemental response on May 1, 1997, that appeared to provide information regarding meetings between NRLC and some of the individuals and entities specified in alternative no. 2 but declined to provide information regarding others. Following several attempts to reach you by phone, I advised in a May 28 meeting at the FEC that the Commission had authorized the General Counsel on May 20 to file a civil suit to enforce the

James Bopp, Esq. MUR 3774 Page 2

subpoena and intended to do so. After further discussion, you agreed to let me know by May 30 whether your clients would provide the remaining information sought in alternative two of the April 24 letter.

On Monday, June 1, you phoned to inform me that your clients would permit us to review relevant calendars at the NRLC offices but that they did not want the calendars to be copied. I have discussed your proposal with the General Counsel. While we would be more than happy to review calendars at your clients' office, we cannot waive our right to have any relevant portions of the calendars copied and produced. We would also be happy to assist in any necessary redaction at the time we review the documents.

Please let me know by close of business June 6 whether your client is agreeable to the above and we can then arrange a mutually convenient time for the review. If I do not hear from you by then, I will advise the Litigation Division to proceed with the subpoena enforcement suit. Please contact me at (202) 219-3400 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Dawn M. Odrowski

Nam I Criswill

Attorney